



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/926,835	09/10/97	JALETT		Н	PH/58-19848/

MICHAEL W GLYNN NOVARTIS CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT 59 ROUTE 10 EAST HANOVER NJ 07936 12M2/1222

EXAMINER

KUMAR, S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

12/22/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No. 08/926,835

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_

Jalett et al

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Shailendra Kumar

Group Art Unit 1209



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 10, 1997				
X This action is <b>FINAL</b> .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the			
Disposition of Claims				
	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
☐ Claim(s)				
Claim(s)				
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election in				
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.			
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	cted to by the Examiner.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗀 disapproved.			
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
	of the priority documents have been			
🛛 received.				
$\square$ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N				
received in this national stage application from the	ne International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*Certified copies not received:				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	rity under 35 U.S.C. 3 119(e).			
Attachment(s)				
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	040			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	THE FOLLOWING PAGES			

Art Unit: 1209

1. Claims 1-37 are pending in this application.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed
publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or
on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the
date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Osborn et.al.

Osborn et.al.(US 5,112,999), column 6, lines 28-68, column 7, anticipates instant process in terms of catalyst, solvent, temperature, and metal salt, along with type of the compounds being hydrogenated.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Art Unit: 1209

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

6. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over combined teachings of Osborn et.al, Burk, Petit et.al., Blackborow et.al. and Chao et.al.

Osborn et.al. has been described supra. Burk(US 5,426,223) is cited to show similar process as claimed herein and also to show various catalyst as claimed herein, see column 2 and 4. Burk also uses metal halide similar to herein, see abstract. Likewise Blackborow et.al.(US 5,103,061) and Petit et.al.(US 5,210,202) teach similar process of hydrogenation of imine using homogeneous or heterogeneous catalyst. The sole difference between the reference and herein claimed process appears to be lack of last step i.e. reaction using acyl halide.

Chao et.al. (Tetrahedron Asymmetry) is cited to show acylation step starting from the imine.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Chao et.al. process of acylation in the process of Osborn et.al.,

Art Unit: 1209

Burk, Petit et.al. or Blackborow et.al., because Chao is clearly teaching such acylation step in the hydrogenation step of imine, see page 399 of Chao et.al., with the reasonable expectation of achieving a successful hydrogenated and acylated product, absent evidence to the contrary.

All the references cited herein are made of record in the parent case.

No claim is allowed.

7. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/532,779. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

Art Unit: 1209

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S.Kumar whose telephone number is (703) 308-4519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

S.Kumar

12/19/97.

SHAILENDAA KUMAR PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200